

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,380	07/12/2001	Jane H. Morse	62430-A/JPW/SHS	1634	
75	90 05/02/2003				
John P. White			EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunh 1185 Avenue of	f the Americas		HORLICK, KI	HORLICK, KENNETH R	
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	a	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	7	
				/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/904,380	MORSE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kenneth R Horlick	1637		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2002 .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.		
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-19,21-49,51-53,55-57,59</u>	and 60 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 53,55,57,59 and 60 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>56</u> is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,49,51 and 52</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 10-19 and 21-48 is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
	on Papers	oracion requirements			
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.			
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.			
12)[ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, ,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been received	d in this National Stage		
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
a)	The translation of the foreign language provices the translation of the foreign language provices the translation of the foreign language provides the foreign language pr	visional application has been rece	eived.		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	,, <b>—</b>			
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Train O-326 (Rev.		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9		

Application/Control Number: 09/904,380

Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not independent, and no serious burden would be put on the examiner in examining all the groups together. This is not found persuasive because it is well established in U.S patent practice that distinctness alone, rather than both independence and distinctness, is sufficient as a criterion for proper restriction. Further, the response provides no substantive argument as to why the restriction requirement is in error as not establishing serious burden of search; the requirement sets forth that the different groups of inventions both have different classification and involve non-overlapping patent/publication key-word searches.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 53, 55, 57, 59, and 60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.
- 3. As a clarification, it is noted that the claims under examination are 1-5, 7, 8, 10-19, 21-49, 51, 52, and 56. Claims 6, 9, 20, 50, 54, 58, and 61-63 were previously cancelled.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,380

Art Unit: 1637

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 49, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. These claims encompass methods of detecting the broad genus of any possible mutation in the bone morphogenetic protein receptor-II (BMPR2) nucleic acid or protein, and correlating such a mutation with a pulmonary disease, giving birth to twins or triplets, or having a miscarriage. However, the specification only provides a written description of the specific mutations as set forth in Figure 4, and claimed in claims 10-19, 21-48, and 56. The two relevant inquiries regarding this issue are: have a representative number of species been described by complete structure; and if not, have a representative number of species been described by sufficient relevant identifying characteristics. It is submitted that the answer is in the negative for both of these questions, and as such the genus claims cannot be justified. While the specification discloses 19 gene-level mutations and their corresponding amino acidlevel mutations, there is no description of any and every mutation which occurs in the BMPR2 gene/protein, not to mention which ones correlate with a specific disease/condition and which are neutral polymorphisms. In summary, at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 09/904,380

Art Unit: 1637

Page 4

invention the inventors were clearly not in possession of the subject matter embodied in the generic method claims.

- 5. Claim 56 is allowable. Claims 10-19 and 21-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. No prior art has been found teaching or suggesting detection of the specified mutations in the BMPR2 gene/protein and correlating them with predisposition to/affliction of a pulmonary disease, having twins or triplets, or having a miscarriage.
- 6. Loyd et al. (US 2002/0102576 A1 and WO 02/06534 A2), which are not prior art, are made of record as references of interest. These references disclose several BMPR2 mutations which are correlated with pulmonary hypertension, some of which are disclosed in the instant application and some of which are not. Wozney et al. (US 6,291,206) and Rosenbaum et al. (US 6,306,622) are also made of record as references of interest.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 703-308-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Kenneth R Horlick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

A --- -- 1 -

April 23, 2003